```
Leo James Terrell, Esq. (SBN 149693)
1
   Tony Su, Esq. (SBN 262323)
   Law Office of Leo James Terrell
   11870 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 106-673
   Los Angeles, CA 90024
   P: (310) 478-3666 / F: (310) 478-3650
   Email: civil1975@aol.com
5
 6
7
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9
           CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION
10
   LAMONT TARKINGTON,
                                         ) Case No.: 2:18-CV-07636-CJC-JC
11
              Plaintiff,
                                           PLAINTIFF'S TO DEFENDANT'S
12
                                           OPPOSITION TO EX PARTE
13
                                           APPLICATION TO ENFORCE
                VS.
14
                                           SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
   COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES; LOS
1.5
   ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S
                                                  TBD
                                           Date:
16
   DEPARTMENT; LOS ANGELES
                                           Time: 1:30 p.m.
                                           Ctrm.: 9B
   COUNTY SHERIFF JIM MCDONNELL; )
17
                                           Judge: Hon. Cormac J. Carney
   LAUREN BROWN; JAMES MURREN;
18
   DONALD YOUNG; LAWRENCE
19
   BEACH ALLEN CHOI PC; and DOES 1-
    10 INCLUSIVE;
20
21
               Defendants.
22
         PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff LaMont Tarkington ("Plaintiff") files the
23
   following Reply to Defendants' Opposition to ex parte application to enforce the
24
   settlement agreement.
25
         Defendants' stated objections are without merit.
26
         First, Defendants' assertion Plaintiff is attempting to impose deadlines not
27
   contemplated by the parties when they entered into the settlement is false. At the time of
28
```

the settlement, Defendants represented the settlement will be approved in 6-months. Nearly 9-months has elapsed since the settlement and Defendants still has not paid the settlement. Based Defendants' logic, there is no deadline for it to issue payment of the settlement, which is nonsensical.

Second, Defendants claim the COVID-19 crisis is responsible for the delay. Yet, Defendants provide no facts to support the claim.

Last, Defendants did not respond to Plaintiff's inquire on the status of the settlement payment and only responded after the ex parte application was filed.

In sum, Plaintiff requests an Order to compel Defendants to comply with the settlement agreement by paying it forthwith. Otherwise, Defendants will continue to take advantage of the situation and come up with various excuses to not pay the settlement.

Dated: August 30, 2020

By: /s/ Leo James Terrell

Leo James Terrell, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Attorneys for Plaintiff
LaMont Tarkington